1	PARISI & HAVENS LLP David C. Parisi (SBN 162248)		
2	Suzanne Havens Beckman (SBN 188814)		
	212 Marine Street, Suite 100 Santa Monica, CA 90405		
3	Telephone: (818) 990-1299		
4	Facsimile: (818) 501-7852 dcparisi@parisihavens.com		
5	shavens@parisihavens.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Lynn Slovin, Samuel Katz, Jeffery Price, Justin Birkhofer on their own behal		
7	and on behalf of all others similarly situated		
8	KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP Lauri A. Mazzuchetti (pro hac vice)		
9	Jeffrey S. Jacobson (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Glenn T. Graham (<i>pro hac vice</i>) One Jefferson Road, 2 nd Floor		
10	Parsippany, New Jersey 07054		
11	Telephone: (973) 503-5900 Facsimile: (973) 503-5950		
12	lmazzuchetti@kelleydrye.com ggraham@kelleydrye.com		
13	Attorneys for Defendants Sunrun Inc. Inc. and Cla Energy Experts, LLC	ean	
14			
15	[other counsel in signature block]		
16	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COUR	T
17	NODTHEDN DISTRICT OF	CALIEODNIA O	A IZI A NID
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA, O	DAKLAND
19	LYNN SLOVIN, an individual, on her own	Case No. 4:15-cv	v-05340-YGR
20	behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	(Hon. Yvonne G	
21	,	ORDER GRA	NTING TO DISMISS ONLY
22	Plaintiff,	UNDER THE T	
23	V. SUNDIN INC. a California corneration	THE FIFTH CI	ROTECTION ACT AND LAIM FOR RELIEF FOR
24	SUNRUN, INC., a California corporation, CLEAN ENERGY EXPERTS, LLC, a	VIOLATIONS LEGAL REME	OF THE CONSUMER DIES ACT
25	California limited liability company doing business as SOLAR AMERICA, and DOES 1	[FDCD Dwla (a)	(1)(A)(;;)]
	through 5, inclusive,	[FRCP Rule (a)	(1)(A)(II)]
26 27	Defendants.	TAC Filed: Trial Date:	July 12, 2016 None Set
28			

STIPULATION TO DISMISS CLAIMS

1	Plaintiffs Lynn Slovin, Samuel Katz, Jeffrey Price, and Justin Birkhofer ("Plaintiffs")	and	
2	Defendants Sunrun, Inc. and Clean Energy Experts, LLC ("Defendants") (collectively, the		
3	"Parties"), pursuant to FRCP Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), do hereby STIPULATE AND AGREE to		
4	dismiss with prejudice the following two claims from Plaintiffs' operative Third Amended		
5	Complaint ("Complaint") ONLY:		
6	1. The Second Claim for Relief for Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Ac		
7	47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded calls to landlines; and		
8	2. The Fifth Claim for Relief for Violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act.		
9	Such dismissal is without prejudice to the absent class members that Plaintiffs sought	to	
10	represent as to these claims. All other claims alleged in the Complaint will remain at issue.		
11	DATED: January 11, 2019 KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP		
12	Lauri A. Mazzuchetti		
13	Jeffrey S. Jacobson Lee S. Brenner		
14	Glenn T. Graham		
15			
16	By: /s/Lauri A. Mazzuchetti		
17	Lauri A. Mazzuchetti Attorneys for Defendants Sunrun Inc. and		
18	Clean Energy Experts, LLC		
19			
20	DATED: January 11, 2019 PARISI & HAVENS LLP David C. Parisi		
21	Suzanne Havens Beckman		
22	PARASMO LIEBERMAN LAW		
23	Yitzchak H. Lieberman Grace Parasmo		
24			
25	By: /s/Suzanne Havens Beckman		
26	Suzanne Havens Beckman Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
27			
28			

1	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), I attest that all other signatories listed, and on
2	whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing of this stipulation and have authorized the
3	filing of this stipulation.
4	
5	DATED: January 11, 2019 PARISI & HAVENS LLP David C. Parisi
6	Suzanne Havens Beckman
7	
8	By: <u>/s/Suzanne Havens Beckman</u> Suzanne Havens Beckman
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	
11	
12	
13	ORDER
14	
15	Pursuant to stipulation, the Second Claim for Relief for Violation of the Telephone
15 16	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded
	·
16	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded calls to landlines; and the Fifth Claim for Relief for Violations of the Consumer
16 17	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded calls to landlines; and the Fifth Claim for Relief for Violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to the absent
16 17 18	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded calls to landlines; and the Fifth Claim for Relief for Violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to the absent class members. IT IS SO ORDERED.
16 17 18 19	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded calls to landlines; and the Fifth Claim for Relief for Violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to the absent class members.
16 17 18 19 20	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded calls to landlines; and the Fifth Claim for Relief for Violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to the absent class members. IT IS SO ORDERED.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded calls to landlines; and the Fifth Claim for Relief for Violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to the absent class members. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 29, 2019 WONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded calls to landlines; and the Fifth Claim for Relief for Violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to the absent class members. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 29, 2019 WONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded calls to landlines; and the Fifth Claim for Relief for Violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to the absent class members. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 29, 2019 WONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded calls to landlines; and the Fifth Claim for Relief for Violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to the absent class members. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 29, 2019 WONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B), based on alleged prerecorded calls to landlines; and the Fifth Claim for Relief for Violations of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to the absent class members. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 29, 2019 WONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS